### U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT ARKANSAS

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS LITTLE ROCK DIVISION

JAMES W. MOCREMACK, CLERK By:

DAVID MACDONALD

Plaintiff.

v.

USAA SAVINGS BANK,

Defendant.

Case No.: 4.18(1811.5WW

Magistrate:

#### **COMPLAINT WITH JURY DEMAND**

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

Plaintiff, DAVID MACDONALD ("Plaintiff"), by and through undersigned counsel, hereby sues Defendant, USAA SAVINGS BANK ("Defendant"), alleging as follows:

#### **INTRODUCTION**

1. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself individually seeking damages and any other available legal or equitable remedies resulting from the illegal actions of Defendant, in negligently, knowingly, and/or willfully contacting Plaintiff on Plaintiff's cellular telephone in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. §227 et seq.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Since Defendant conducts business in the state of Arkansas, this Honorable Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant.
- 3. Subject-matter jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3). See Mims v. Arrow Financial Services, LLC, 565 U.S. 368 (2012), holding that federal and state courts have concurrent jurisdiction over private suits arising under the TCPA.

4. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas pursuant to 28 U.S.C §1391(b)(2) because Plaintiff resides within this District and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the herein claims occurred within this District.

#### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Pulaski County, in the city of Little Rock, Arkansas and is otherwise sui juris.
- 6. Defendant is a Nevada corporation doing business in the state of Arkansas, with its principal place of business in Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 7. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant has acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives and insurers.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 8. Defendant is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. §153 (10).
- 9. Defendant placed collection calls to Plaintiff seeking and attempting to collect on alleged debts incurred through purchases made on credit issued by Defendant.
- 10. Defendant placed collection calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone at phone number (501) 951-08XX.
- 11. Defendant placed collection calls to Plaintiff from various telephone numbers including, but not limited to, (800) 531-0378 and (800) 531-8722.
- 12. Upon information and belief and based on Defendant's prior business practices, Defendant's calls were placed with an automatic telephone dialing system.
- 13. Defendant used an "automatic telephone dialing system", as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1), to place telephone calls to Plaintiff seeking to collect a consumer debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff, DAVID MACDONALD.

14. Defendant's automated calls to Plaintiff were not for emergency purposes as defined by 47

U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).

15. Defendant's calls were placed to a telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone

service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(1).

16. Defendant never received Plaintiff's "prior express consent" to receive calls using an

automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice on his cellular telephone

pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).

17. On or about March 9, 2018, Plaintiff spoke with a representative for Defendant's company,

named "Deleon," and requested that Defendant cease calling Plaintiff's cellular telephone.

18. During the conversation, Plaintiff gave Defendant his telephone number and phone

password, in order to assist Defendant in identifying him and accessing Plaintiff's account before

asking Defendant to stop calling his cellular telephone.

19. Plaintiff revoked any consent, explicit, implied, or otherwise, to call his cellular telephone

and/or to receive Defendant's calls using an automatic telephone dialing system in his conversation

with Defendant's representative on March 9, 2018.

20. Despite Plaintiff's request to cease, Defendant continued to place collection calls to

Plaintiff after March 9, 2018.

21. Despite Plaintiff's request that Defendant cease placing automated collection calls to

Plaintiff via the use of an automatic telephone dialing system, Defendant continued to place at

least one hundred and thirty-eight (138) telephone calls via the use of an automatic telephone

dialing system to Plaintiff's cellular telephone.

///

///

///

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENT VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT - 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B)

- 22. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-21.
- 23. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 24. As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. §227 et seq., Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
  - 25. Plaintiff is also entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, DAVID MACDONALD respectfully requests judgment be entered against Defendant, USAA SAVINGS BANK, as follows:

- a. Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages of five hundred dollars (\$500.00) multiplied by the number of negligent violations of the TCPA alleged herein, to wit: one hundred and thirty-eight (138) for a total of sixty-nine thousand dollars (\$69,000.00);
- Awarding Plaintiff actual damages and compensatory damages according to proof at time of trial; and
- c. Granting Plaintiff such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

# SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C)

26. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-21.

Case 4:18-cv-00811-SWW Document 1 Filed 10/30/18 Page 5 of 5

27. The above listed acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple

knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of

the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

28. As a result of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C. §227 et seq.,

Plaintiff is entitled an award of up to one thousand five hundred dollars (\$1,500.00) in statutory

damages for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. §

227(b)(3)(C).

29. Plaintiff is also entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, DAVID MACDONALD respectfully requests judgment be entered

against Defendant, USAA SAVINGS BANK, as follows:

a. Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages of one thousand five hundred dollars (\$1,500.00)

multiplied by the number of knowing and/or willful violations of TCPA alleged herein,

to wit: one hundred and thirty-eight (138) for a total of two hundred and seven thousand

dollars (\$207,000.00);

b. Awarding Plaintiff actual damages and compensatory damages according to proof at

time of trial; and

c. Granting Plaintiff such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

**JURY TRIAL DEMAND** 

30. Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

Dated: October 23, 2018

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Alveon Duk

Alyson Dykes

4740 Green River Rd., Suite 310

Corona, CA 92880

P: (866) 329-9217 F: (657) 246-1311

alysond@jlohman.com